

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,855	10/756,855 01/14/2004		Fumio Ohtomo	1715465	3405
24240	7590	02/03/2006		EXAMINER	
CHAPMA			RATCLIFFE	RATCLIFFE, LUKE D	
CHICAGO,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				3662	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summany	10/756,855	OHTOMO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Luke D. Ratcliffe	3662				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N	lovember 2005.					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
·	· _						
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•							
	Claim(s) 1,3-5,7 and 8 is/are rejected.						
	/)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. 3)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	, ,	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	We)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/756,855

Art Unit: 3662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtomo (4692023) in view of Brown (3967111).

Ohtomo shows an electric distance meter with a device configured to generate a modulated signal for modulating the measurement light (column 1 line 10-25), a driver circuit which is inherent in any light emitting element (figure 4), a frequency signal generation device configured to generate an internal frequency with a frequency different from the modulation signal (figure 2 Ref 11 and note that the signals going to the mixer are different than the signals going to the laser), a mixing circuit (figure 4 Ref 34), a sampling circuit (figure 4 Ref 35), a storing device (figure 4 Ref 16), and an arithmetic logic unit (figure 4 Ref 36). Ohtomo does not show a pulse signal that is AND connected to the modulation signal.

Brown shows a modulation unit that is AND connected to a pulse signal (figure 2 Ref 31. It would have been obvious to modify Ohtomo to use the modulation signal AND connected to the pulse signal as taught by Brown because these are functional equivalents and this allows for a simple way to modulate a signal.

Art Unit: 3662

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtomo (4692023) in view of Brown (3967111) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barna (6452666).

Barna shows a circuit for sampling a signal and storing the signal for finding range or distance to an object (column 5 lines 11-16). It would have been obvious to further modify Hines to include the sampling and storage method taught by Barna because this method makes it possible to view different data points in a digital rather than a continuous manor.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtomo (4692023) in view of Brown (3967111) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Akasu (5179286).

Akasu shows a sampled signal that is averaged and then stored to determine distance to an object. It would be obvious to further modify Hines to include the averaging and storing method taught by Akasu because this method allows for a better signal to noise ratio.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtomo (4692023) in view of Brown (3967111) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Haruyama (JP410285227A).

Referring to claim 4 Haruyama shows a sine wave that is generated by sample data. It would have been obvious to further modify Hines to include the sine wave generation taught in Haruyama because this makes it possible to measure the phase difference to the received signal and a reference signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,855 Page 4

Art Unit: 3662

Referring to claim 5 the integration of a plurality of periods of sampled data is a well known concept and does not contribute any patentable matter to the application.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtomo (4692023) in view of Brown (3967111) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ishikawa (4891624).

Ishikawa shows a modulated signal that corresponds to the intermittent pulse signal (column 6 lines 26-51). It would have been obvious to further modify Hines to include the modulated signal generation method taught in Ishikawa because this method allows for simple modulation and demodulation of a signal with a reference.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtomo (4692023) in view of Brown (3967111) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ichikawa (JP406051062A).

Ichikawa shows a frequency signal that is generated by inputting a signal directly into the light receiving element. It would have been obvious to further modify Hines to include the signal generation taught in Ichikawa because this method of directly input a signal allows for a better signal to noise ratio and less possibility of error.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3662

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luke D. Ratcliffe whose telephone number is 571-272-3110. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,855 Page 6

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lpr

LDR

THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Mones D. Jany